

Footnotes

- (1) Based on AMD internal testing as of 10/01/09, the AMD Athlon II X2 240e processor-based system performed 20% better on Windows Movie Maker, and 77% better in 3DMark® Vantage, than a system based on Intel Core 2 E7400. Results are normalized to the Core 2 Duo 7400. AMD configuration: M4A78T-E motherboard, ATI Radeon™ HD 3300 GPU, 4GB DDR3-1066, Windows Vista® 64 Ultimate. INTEL configuration: DG45ID motherboard, G45 Express; 4GB DDR2-1066; Windows Vista® 64 Ultimate. Windows Movie Maker renders a photo slide show as an AVI from a dataset of 97 1600x1066 JPEG images. Captured data is elapsed time in seconds. 3DMark Vantage overall results are measured in Marks.
- (2) Intel pricing of \$119 based on newegg.com as of October 7, 2009 while AMD pricing of \$77 based on expected AMD 1ku pricing at time of launch.
- (3) Intel pricing of \$190 based on newegg.com as of October 7, 2009 while AMD pricing of \$87 based on expected 1ku price at time of launch.
- (4) Based on AMD internal testing as of 10/01/09, the AMD Athlon II X3 435 processor-based system performed 34% better on Windows Movie Maker, and 76% better in 3DMark® Vantage, than a system based on Intel Core 2 Duo E8500. Results are normalized to the Core 2 Duo E8500. AMD configuration: M4A78T-E motherboard, ATI Radeon™ HD 3300 GPU, 4GB DDR3-1066, Windows Vista® 64 Ultimate. INTEL configuration: DG45ID motherboard, G45 Express; 4GB DDR2-1066; Windows Vista® 64 Ultimate. Windows Movie Maker renders a photo slide show as an AVI from a dataset of 97 1600x1066 JPEG images. Captured data is elapsed time in seconds. 3DMark Vantage overall results are measured in Marks.

